10. SIMPLICIAL APPROXIMATIONS

Definition 10.1. Let K and L be two simplicial complexes and let

$$h: |\mathcal{K}| \longrightarrow |\mathcal{L}|$$

be a continuous map.

We say that a simplicial map f from K to L is a **simplicial approximation** to h if

$$h(\operatorname{St}(v)) \subset \operatorname{St}(f(v))$$

for every vertex v of K.

Definition-Lemma 10.2. Let K and L be two simplicial complexes and let

$$h: |\mathcal{K}| \longrightarrow |\mathcal{L}|$$

be a continuous map.

We say that h satisfies the **star condition** if for every vertex v of K there is a vertex w of L such that

$$h(\operatorname{St}(v)) \subset \operatorname{St}(w)$$
.

Then h satisfies the star condition if and only if it has a simplicial approximation.

Proof. One direction is clear. If f is a simplicial approximation of h then h satisfies the star condition. Indeed, given v if w = f(v) then

$$h(\operatorname{St}(v)) \subset f(\operatorname{St}(v))$$

= $\operatorname{St}(w)$.

Now suppose that h satisfies the star condition. Given a vertex v of \mathcal{K} by assumption there is a vertex w of \mathcal{L} such that

$$h(\operatorname{St}(v)) \subset \operatorname{St}(w).$$

We define

$$f(v) = w$$
.

This gives us a map on vertices.

Suppose that σ is a simplex of \mathcal{K} . Pick x in the interior of σ . Then h(x) belongs to the interior of some simplex τ .

Suppose that

$$\sigma = \langle v_0, v_1, \dots, v_m \rangle.$$

Then

$$h(x) \in h(\operatorname{St}(v_i)) \subset \operatorname{St}(f(v_i))$$

Thus the barycentric coordinates of h(x) are positive for each $f(v_i)$. But then $f(v_i)$ is vertex of τ . This gives us a map of the abstractions of \mathcal{K} and \mathcal{L} and in turn this means we can extend f to a simplicial map. By construction f is a simplicial approximation of h.

Definition-Lemma 10.3. Two simplicial maps f and g of two simplicial complexes K and L are **contiguous** if for every simplex

$$\sigma = \langle v_0, v_1, \dots, v_m \rangle.$$

of K the vertices $f(v_i)$ and $g(v_i)$ all belong to the same simplex τ of \mathcal{L} . If f and g are simplicial approximations of the same continuous map then f and g are contiguous.

Proof. We follow the proof of (10.2). If we pick x in the interior of σ then h(x) belongs to the interior of some simplex τ . It follows that $f(v_i)$ and $g(v_i)$ both belong to τ . But then f and g are contiguous. \square

Theorem 10.4. Let f and g be two simplicial maps of two simplicial complexes K and L.

If f and g are contiguous then they are chain homotopic. In particular they induce the same map on homology.

Proof. We want to define a group homomorphism

$$P_m: C_m(\mathcal{K}) \longrightarrow C_{m+1}(\mathcal{L})$$

such that

$$\partial_{m+1} \circ P_m + P_{m-1} \circ \partial_m = f_* - g_*.$$

It is enough to define P_m for every simplex

$$\sigma = \langle v_0, v_1, \dots, v_m \rangle.$$

Let $\mathcal{L}(\sigma) \subset \mathcal{L}$ be the simplicial complex given by the simplex generated by

$$\{ f(v_i) | 0 \le i \le m \} \cup \{ g(v_i) | 0 \le i \le m \}.$$

and all its faces. We will construct P_m such that

$$P_m(\sigma) \in C_m(\mathcal{L}(\sigma)).$$

We proceed by induction on m.

For m=0, a 0-simplex is the same as a vertex v. By assumption f(v) and g(v) belong to the same simplex τ . There are two cases. If $f(v) \neq g(v)$ then we define

$$P_0(v) = \langle g(v), f(v) \rangle.$$

In this case

$$(\partial_{1} \circ P_{0} + P_{-1} \circ \partial_{0})(v) = (\partial_{1} \circ P_{0})(v) + (P_{-1} \circ \partial_{0})(v)$$

$$= \partial_{1} \langle g(v), f(v) \rangle$$

$$= f(v) - g(v)$$

$$= f_{*}(v) - g_{*}(v)$$

$$= (f_{*} - g_{*})(v).$$

The other case is when f(v) = g(v). In this case we simply put P(v) = 0. Then both sides of the equation above are zero, so we have equality in this case as well. This gives the case m = 0.

Now suppose that we have defined P_k for all k-chains, where k < m. Suppose that σ is an m-simplex

$$\langle v_0, v_1, \dots, v_m \rangle$$
.

Consider

$$\gamma = f_* \sigma - g_* \sigma - P_{m-1}(\partial_m \sigma).$$

Note that γ makes sense, as $\partial_m \sigma$ is an (m-1)-chain and we have already defined P_{m-1} . We check that γ is a cycle:

$$\begin{split} \partial_{m}\gamma &= \partial_{m}f_{*}\sigma - \partial_{m}g_{*}\sigma - \partial_{m}(P_{m-1}(\partial_{m}\sigma)) \\ &= f_{*}(\partial_{m}\sigma) - g_{*}(\partial_{m}\sigma) - (\partial_{m}\circ P_{m-1})(\partial_{m}\sigma) \\ &= f_{*}(\partial_{m}\sigma) - g_{*}(\partial_{m}\sigma) - f_{*}(\partial_{m}\sigma) - g_{*}(\partial_{m}\sigma) + P_{m-2}(\partial_{m-1})(\partial_{m}\sigma) \\ &= P_{m-2}((\partial_{m-1}\circ\partial_{m})\sigma) \\ &= P_{m-2}(0) \\ &= 0. \end{split}$$

As the mth homology of $\mathcal{L}(\sigma)$ is zero,

$$H_m(\mathcal{L}(\sigma)) = 0,$$

it follows that γ is a boundary, so that there is a (m+1)-chain $\beta \in C_{m+1}(\mathcal{L}(\sigma))$ such that $\partial_{m+1}\beta = \gamma$. We define $P_m(\sigma) = \beta$. With this choice we have

$$(\partial_{m+1} \circ P_m + P_{m-1} \circ \partial_m)(\sigma) = (\partial_{m+1} \circ P_m)(\sigma) + (P_{m-1} \circ \partial_m)(\sigma)$$

$$= \partial_{m+1}\beta + (P_{m-1} \circ \partial_m)(\sigma)$$

$$= \gamma + P_{m-1}(\partial_m \sigma)$$

$$= f_*\sigma - g_*\sigma - P_{m-1}(\partial_m \sigma) + P_{m-1}(\partial_m \sigma)$$

$$= f_*(\sigma) - g_*(\sigma)$$

$$= (f_* - g_*)(\sigma).$$

This completes the induction and the construction of P_m .

Note that if a continuous map h between two triangulable topological spaces has a simplicial approximation then we can define a pushforward on homology. Indeed pick one simplicial approximation and use the fact that the pushforward of homology does not depend on the choice of simplicial approximation.